

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/545,334 | HABBen ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Stuart F. Baum | 1638 | |

All Participants:

(1) Stuart F. Baum.

(2) Karen Varley.

Status of Application: pending

(3) Debra L. Blair.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-2, 9, 11, 17, 22, 24, 30, 34, 36, 43-48, 50-52, 54-56, 58-59, 64-65

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's Amendment was approved by applicant's representative, putting claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)